

SUM RULES AND BOSE-EINSTEIN CONDENSATION

S. Stringari

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trento, I-38050 Povo, Italy

Abstract. *Various sum rules accounting for the coupling between density and particle excitations and emphasizing in an explicit way the role of the Bose-Einstein condensation are discussed. Important consequences on the fluctuations of the particle operator as well as on the structure of elementary excitations are reviewed. These include a recent generalization of the Hohenberg-Mermin-Wagner theorem holding at zero temperature.*

International Workshop on Bose-Einstein Condensation, Levico (Trento), Italy, May 31-June 4, 1993

1. INTRODUCTION

The sum rule approach has been extensively employed in the literature in order to explore various dynamic features of quantum many body systems from a microscopic point of view (see for example [1] and references therein). An important merit of the method is its explicit emphasis on the role of conservation laws and of the symmetries of the problem. Furthermore the explicit determination of sum rules is relatively easy and often requires only a limited knowledge of the system. Usually the sum rule approach is however employed without giving special emphasis on the possible occurrence of (spontaneously) broken symmetries. For example the most famous f -sum rule [2] holding for a large class of systems is not affected by the existence of an order parameter in the system.

The purpose of this paper is to discuss a different class of sum rules which are directly affected by the presence of a broken symmetry. These sum rules can be used to predict significant properties of the system which are the consequence of the existence of an order parameter. In this work we will make explicit reference to Bose systems and to the consequences of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC). Most of these results can be however generalized to discuss other systems exhibiting spontaneously broken symmetries.

In sect. 2 we use a sum rule due to Bogoliubov in order to derive important constraints on the fluctuations of the particle operator as well as to obtain Goldstone-type bounds for the energy of elementary excitations. In sect. 3 we explore the consequences of BEC on the long range behavior of the half diagonal two-body density matrix and discuss the coupling between the *density* and *particle* pictures of elementary excitations in Bose superfluids.

2. THE BOGOLIUBOV SUM RULE.

The Bogoliubov sum rule [3,4]

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{A}_{a-a^\dagger, \rho}(\omega) d\omega = \langle [a_{\mathbf{q}} - a_{-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger, \rho_{\mathbf{q}}] \rangle = \langle a_0 + a_0^\dagger \rangle = 2\sqrt{Nn_0} \quad (1)$$

is the most remarkable among the sum rules depending explicitly on the order parameter $n_0 = \frac{1}{N} |\langle a_0 \rangle|^2$. In eq.(1) $\mathcal{A}_{A^\dagger, B}(\omega)$ is the spectral function

$$\mathcal{A}_{A^\dagger, B}(\omega) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{m, n} (e^{-\beta E_m} - e^{-\beta E_n}) \langle m | A^\dagger | n \rangle \langle n | B | m \rangle \delta(\omega - E_n - E_m) \quad (2)$$

relative to the operators A, B and we have made the choice $A^\dagger = a_{\mathbf{q}} - a_{-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger$ and $B = \rho_{\mathbf{q}}$ ($\rho_{\mathbf{q}}, a_{\mathbf{q}}$ and $a_{-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger$ are the usual density, particle annihilation and creation operators respectively and we have taken $\langle a_0 \rangle = \langle a_0^\dagger \rangle = \sqrt{Nn_0}$). In deriving result (1) we have used the completeness relation and the Bose commutation relations for a and $a^\dagger$. Despite its simplicity, result (1) is at the basis of very important results characterizing the macroscopic behavior of Bose superfluids. Its richness is mainly due to the fact that it exploits in a microscopic way the effects of the "phase" operator, proportional to the difference $a_{\mathbf{q}} - a_{-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger$. Some important applications of result (1) are discussed below.

2.1. The Hohenberg-Mermin-Wagner (HMW) theorem.

This theorem, of fundamental importance in condensed matter physics, states that no long range order can exist ($n_0 = 0$ in the Bose case) in a significant class of 1D and 2D systems at finite temperature [5-7]. The theorem is based on the Bogoliubov inequality [3,4]

$$\langle \{A^\dagger, A\} \rangle \langle [B^\dagger, [H, B]] \rangle \geq k_B T |\langle [A^\dagger, B] \rangle|^2 \quad (3)$$

for the fluctuations of the operator A (we assume $\langle A \rangle = \langle B \rangle = 0$). Inequality (3) can be derived starting from the inequality [3,4]

$$\chi_{A^\dagger, A} < [B^\dagger, [H, B]] \rangle \geq |\langle [A^\dagger, B] \rangle|^2 \quad (4)$$

for the static response relative to the operator A

$$\chi_{A^\dagger, A} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{A}_{A^\dagger, B}(\omega) \frac{1}{\omega} d\omega$$

and the result $\langle \{A^\dagger, A\} \rangle \geq 2k_B T \chi_{A^\dagger, A}$ following from the fluctuation dissipation theorem.

Choosing in the Bose case $A^\dagger = a_{\mathbf{q}}$ and $B = \rho_{\mathbf{q}}$ we obtain the inequality [5]

$$n(q) \equiv \langle a_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle \geq n_0 m \frac{k_B T}{q^2} - \frac{1}{2} \quad (5)$$

for the momentum distribution $n(q)$ of the system. In order to derive result (5) we have used the f -sum rule [2]

$$\int \omega S(q, \omega) d\omega = \frac{1}{2} \langle [\rho_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger, [H, \rho_{\mathbf{q}}]] \rangle = N \frac{q^2}{2m} \quad (6)$$

holding for Galilean invariant potentials. In eq.(6) $S(q, \omega) = \mathcal{A}_{\rho^\dagger, \rho}(\omega)/(1 - \exp(-\beta\omega))$ ■

is the usual dynamic structure function. Inequality (5) points out the occurrence of an important infrared $1/q^2$ divergency in the momentum distribution which originates from the thermal fluctuations of the phase of the condensate. This behavior permits to prove the absence of BEC in 1D and 2D systems at finite temperature. In fact, due to such a divergency, the normalization condition for the momentum distribution $\sum_{\mathbf{q}} n(q) = N$ cannot be satisfied in 1D and 2D, unless $n_0 = 0$ [5].

2.2. Extension of the Hohenberg-Mermin-Wagner theorem at $T=0$.

As clearly revealed by eqs.(3),(5) the HMW theorem does not apply at zero temperature. Actually there are important examples of 2D systems obeying the HMW theorem at $T \neq 0$ and exhibiting long range order in the ground state. The physical reason is that quantum fluctuations have in general a weaker effect with respect to thermal fluctuations in destroying the order parameter.

An extension of the Hohenberg-Mermin-Wagner theorem holding at zero temperature has been recently formulated by Pitaevskii and Stringari [8]. To this purpose one uses the uncertainty principle inequality

$$\langle \{A^\dagger, A\} \rangle \langle \{B^\dagger, B\} \rangle \geq |\langle [A^\dagger, B] \rangle|^2 \quad (7)$$

rather than the Bogoliubov inequality (3). Both inequalities provide rigorous constraints on the fluctuations of the operator A . However, while the Bogoliubov inequality is sensitive to thermal fluctuations and becomes less and less useful as $T \rightarrow 0$, the uncertainty principle is particularly powerful in the low temperature regime dominated by quantum fluctuations. By making the choice $A^\dagger = a_{\mathbf{q}}$, $B = \rho_{\mathbf{q}}$ one obtains the following non trivial inequality for the momentum distribution [8]:

$$n(q) \geq \frac{n_0}{4S(q)} - \frac{1}{2} \quad (8)$$

of a Bose system where $S(q) = \frac{1}{N} \langle \rho_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \rho_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle$ is the static structure function. The low q behavior of $S(q)$ is fixed, at zero temperature, by the further bound

$$S(q) \leq \sqrt{\int \omega S(q, \omega) d\omega \int \frac{1}{\omega} S(q, \omega) d\omega} \quad (9)$$

that can be easily calculated at small q . In fact in this limit the inverse energy weighted sum rule (compressibility sum rule) $\int \frac{1}{\omega} S(q, \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \chi(q)$ approaches the compressibility parameter of the system ($\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \chi(q) = 1/mc^2$), while the energy

weighted sum rule (f-sum rule) is given by eq.(6). As a consequence $S(q) \leq q/2mc$ at small q and the momentum distribution $n(q)$ diverges at least as

$$n(q) = n_0 \frac{mc}{2q}. \quad (10)$$

It is worth pointing out that the $1/q$ law for the momentum distribution, already known in the literature [9,10], has been obtained here without any assumption on the nature of the elementary excitations of the system (phonons in a neutral Bose superfluid) and follows uniquely by the existence of BEC, the validity of the f-sum rule and the finiteness of the compressibility. By imposing the proper normalization to the momentum distribution one can then rule out the existence of BEC in 1D systems at zero temperature. Note that the ideal Bose Gas does not violate the theorem since in this case the compressibility sum rule $\chi(q)$ diverges as $1/q^2$.

Starting from the same inequality (7) it is possible to rule out the existence of long range order also in other 1D systems at $T=0$ (isotropic antiferromagnets, crystals) [8]. The theorem does not apply to 1D ferromagnets since in this case the inverse energy weighted sum rule (magnetic susceptibility) diverges as $1/q^2$.

Inequality (8) has been recently used [11] to rule out the existence of BEC in the bosonic representation of the electronic wave function

$$\Psi_B(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{\nu} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{i,j}\right) \Psi_F(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) \quad (11)$$

proposed by Girvin and MacDonald [12] for the fractional quantum Hall effect. In eq.(11) Ψ_F is the fermionic wave function of electrons, $\nu = \frac{1}{2k+1}$, where k is an integer, is the usual filling factor and $\alpha_{i,j}$ is the angle between the vector connecting particles i and j and an arbitrary fixed axis. Using the Laughlin's expression [13] for the ground state wave function Ψ_F the authors of ref.[12] were able to show that there is not Bose-Einstein condensation in the bosonic wave function Ψ_B , but

only algebraic long range order. The same result was obtained in ref.[14] starting directly from the Chern-Simons-Landau-Ginzburg theory. In ref.[11] the absence of long range order was proven starting directly from the uncertainty principle inequality (8). The result follows from the fact that a charged liquid in an external magnetic field is characterized by a suppression of density fluctuations resulting in a quadratic law

$$S(q) = \frac{q^2}{2m\omega_c} \quad (12)$$

for the static structure function (ω_c is the cyclotronic frequency). This behaviour reflects the incompressibility of the system and is consistent with the Kohn's theorem [15]. Inequality (8) implies a $1/q^2$ divergency for the momentum distribution of the bosonic wave function of this 2D problem. This is incompatible with the normalization of $n(q)$, fixed by the total number of electrons, unless the Bose condensate relative to Ψ_B identically vanishes.

2.3. BEC and excitation energies.

The sum rule technique can be used to get useful constraints on the energy of elementary excitations. In particular use of the Bogoliubov sum rule (1) makes it possible to emphasize the role of the order parameter.

Given a pair of operators A and B one can derive the following rigorous inequality, holding at zero temperature, for the energy ω_0 of the lowest state excited by the operators A and B :

$$\omega_0^2 \leq \frac{\langle [A^\dagger, [H, A]] \rangle \langle [B^\dagger, [H, B]] \rangle}{|\langle [A^\dagger, B] \rangle|^2} \quad (13)$$

Bounds of the form (13) were first considered by Wagner [4]. Result (13) can be obtained using the inequality (holding at zero temperature)

$$\omega_0^2 \leq \frac{\langle [A^\dagger, [H, A]] \rangle}{\chi_{A^\dagger, A}} \quad (14)$$

where the r.h.s coincides with the ratio between the energy weighted and the inverse energy weighted sum rules relative to the operator A . Use of the Bogoliubov inequality (4) then yields eq.(13).

Result (13) has the important merit of providing a rigorous upper bound for ω_0 in terms of quantities involving commutators. This is an advantage, for example, with respect to the so called Feynman bound:

$$\omega_0 \leq \frac{\langle [A^\dagger, [H, A]] \rangle}{\langle \{A^\dagger, A\} \rangle} \quad (15)$$

involving the anticommutator $\langle \{A^\dagger, A\} \rangle$ in the denominator and hence requiring the direct knowledge of the fluctuations of the operator A . The occurrence of the anticommutator makes it difficult to exploit the low momentum regime of elementary excitations. Viceversa eq.(13) can be directly employed for this purpose.

Result (13) is particularly interesting when the expectation value of the commutator $[A^\dagger, B]$ is proportional to the order parameter of the problem. This happens in a Bose superfluid with the choice $A^\dagger = a_{\mathbf{q}} - a_{-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger$ and $B = \rho_{\mathbf{q}}$, already considered in this work. One then finds

$$\omega_0^2(q) \leq \frac{1}{n_0} \frac{q^2}{2m} \left[\frac{q^2}{2m} - \mu + NV(0) + \sum_{\mathbf{p}} V(p)(n(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}) + \bar{n}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q})) \right] \quad (16)$$

where we have carried out the commutators using the grand canonical hamiltonian $H' = H - \mu N$ (μ is the chemical potential) and taken a central potential with Fourier transform $V(p)$. The quantities $n(p)$ and $\bar{n}(p)$ are defined by $n(p) = \langle a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle$ and $\bar{n}(p) = \frac{1}{2} \langle a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger + a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{-\mathbf{p}} \rangle$.

Result (16) is a rigorous inequality holding for any Bose system interacting with central potentials. It has the form of a Goldstone theorem. In fact, since its right hand side behaves as q^2 when $q \rightarrow 0$, it proves the existence of gapless excitations, provided the order parameter n_0 is different from zero.

It is worth noting that in the limit of a dilute Bose gas ($\mu = NV(0), n(p) = \bar{n}(p) = N\delta_{\mathbf{p},0}, n_0 = 1$), this upper bound coincides with the Bogoliubov dispersion law

$$\omega_B^2(q) = \frac{q^2}{2m} \left[\frac{q^2}{2m} + 2NV(q) \right] \quad (17)$$

for any value of $\mathbf{q}$. This result follows from the fact that the sum rules entering inequality (13) are exhausted by a single excitation, multi-particle states playing a negligible role in a dilute gas. Of course in a strongly interacting system, such as liquid ^4He , multiparticle excitations are much more important and the bound (16) turns out to be significantly higher than the lowest excitation energy $\omega_0(q)$.

It is useful to compare the Goldstone type inequality (16) with another, also rigorous, upper bound, still derivable from eq.(13), by choosing

$$A = \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{q}} = [H, \rho_{\mathbf{q}}] = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (q^2 + 2\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{k}) a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (18)$$

and $B = \rho_{\mathbf{q}}$. In eq.(18) $\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is the usual current operator. The resulting bound then coincides with the ratio between the cubic energy weighted and the energy weighted sum rules relative to the density operator $\rho_{\mathbf{q}}$ [16,17]

$$\omega_0^2(q) \leq \frac{\langle [[\rho_{-\mathbf{q}}, H], [H, [H, \rho_{\mathbf{q}}]] \rangle}{\langle [\rho_{-\mathbf{q}}, [H, \rho_{\mathbf{q}}]] \rangle}. \quad (19)$$

The explicit calculation of the triple commutator yields (we choose $\mathbf{q}$ along the z -axis) [16,17]

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle [[\rho_{-\mathbf{q}}, H], [H, [H, \rho_{\mathbf{q}}]] \rangle \\ &= N \frac{q^2}{m} \left[\left(\frac{q^2}{2m} \right)^2 + \frac{2q^2}{m} \langle E_K \rangle + \frac{\rho}{m} \int ds (1 - \cos qz) g(s) \nabla_z^2 V(s) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $\langle E_K \rangle$ is the ground state kinetic energy and $g(s)$ is the pair correlation function. The bound (19) then becomes:

$$\omega_0^2(q) \leq \left(\frac{q^2}{2m} \right)^2 + \frac{2q^2}{m} \langle E_K \rangle + \frac{\rho}{m} \int ds (1 - \cos qz) g(s) \nabla_z^2 V(s) \quad (21)$$

and exhibits a quadratic behaviour in q as $q \rightarrow 0$. It is worth noting that in the limit of a dilute Bose gas ($\langle E_K \rangle = 0, g(s) = 1$) both bounds (21) and (16) coincide with the Bogoliubov dispersion (17).

The fact that it is possible to prove, via eq.(21), the existence of gapless excitations without assuming the existence of a broken symmetry is directly connected (see eq.(19)) with the conservation of the total current $\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{q}=0}$, holding in translationally invariant systems. This discussion also suggests that Goldstone type inequalities of the form (16) are particularly useful in systems where the current is **not** conserved and where consequently only the existence of a spontaneously broken symmetry can ensure in a simple way the occurrence of gapless excitations. This is the case, for example, of spin excitations in magnetic systems (spin current is not conserved) or Bose systems with random external potentials.

Let us discuss for example the effects of an external potential of the form (we take $U_{-\mathbf{k}} = U_{\mathbf{k}}^*$)

$$V_{ext} = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \neq 0} U_{\mathbf{k}} \rho_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (22)$$

on the sum rules discussed above. A first important result is that the Goldstone type upper bound (16) is not directly affected by the external field because of the exact commutation property

$$[a_{\mathbf{q}} - a_{-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger, [V_{ext}, a_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger - a_{-\mathbf{q}}]] = 0. \quad (23)$$

Viceversa the cubic energy weighted sum rule for the density operator $\rho_{\mathbf{q}}$ gets an extra contribution from the external force given by

$$\langle [[\rho_{-\mathbf{q}}, H], [V_{ext}, [H, \rho_{\mathbf{q}}]] \rangle = \frac{q^2}{m^2} \langle - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} k_z^2 U_{\mathbf{k}} \rho_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle \quad (24)$$

At small q the new term provides the leading contribution to the triple commutator (20). Since the quantity $\langle [\rho_{-\mathbf{q}}, [H, \rho_{\mathbf{q}}]] \rangle$ is not changed by the external force,

being still given by the f -sum rule (6), the upper bound (19) no longer vanishes with q . This different behaviour is due to the fact that the current $\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{q}=0}$ is not conserved in the presence of the external field (22). This result also reveals that the relationship $\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{q} \frac{\sqrt{Nn_0}}{2m} (a_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger - a_{-\mathbf{q}})$ between the current and the gradient of the phase operator, holding in a translationally invariant dilute Bose gas, is no longer valid in the presence of an external potential and gives rise to a normal (non superfluid) component of the density of the system at zero temperature. This is discussed, for example, in the Huang's contribution to this workshop.

3. THE HALF DIAGONAL TWO-BODY DENSITY MATRIX.

In this section we discuss another sum rule, also sensitive to the presence of Bose Einstein condensation, given by [18]

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta\omega}} \mathcal{A}_{a+a^\dagger, \rho}(\omega) d\omega = \langle (a_{\mathbf{q}} + a_{-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger) \rho_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle \quad (25)$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is the spectral function already introduced in sect.1 with the choice $A^\dagger = a_{\mathbf{q}} + a_{-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger$ and $B = \rho_{\mathbf{q}}$.

Differently from eq.(1), accounting for the commutation relation between the density and the phase operators, this sum rule cannot be expressed in terms of a commutator. Physically it accounts for the coupling between the density of the system and the modulus of the condensate, proportional to $a_{\mathbf{q}} + a_{-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger$. An interesting feature of this sum rule is that it fixes the long range behavior of the half-diagonal two-body density matrix

$$\rho^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}'_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \langle \Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_1) \Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_2) \Psi(\mathbf{r}'_1) \Psi(\mathbf{r}_2) \rangle \quad (26)$$

The occurrence of BEC is in fact not only relevant for the long range behavior of the one-body density matrix

$$\rho^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \langle \Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \Psi(\mathbf{r}') \rangle \quad (27)$$

fixed by the law

$$\lim_{\mathbf{r}' \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \rho n_0, \quad (28)$$

but also for the one of the two-body matrix (26). The LRO in the 2-body density matrix (26) is naturally defined by the equation [19]

$$\lim_{\mathbf{r}'_1 \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}'_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = n_0 \rho^2 (1 + F_1(|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|)) \quad (29)$$

and is characterized by the condensate fraction n_0 as well as by the function $F_1(r)$. The properties of this function have been recently investigated in ref.[18,19]. The link between the sum rule (25) and the long range behavior of $\rho^{(2)}$ is fixed by the relation

$$\langle \rho_{\mathbf{q}}(a_{\mathbf{q}} + a_{-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger) \rangle = \sqrt{N n_0} (1 + 2F_1(q)) \quad (30)$$

where $F_1(q)$ is the Fourier transform of $F_1(r)$. At low q the behavior of this function is fixed by the density dependence of the condensate according to law [18]

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 + 2F_1(q)}{q} = \frac{1}{2n_0 m c} \frac{\partial(n_0 \rho)}{\partial \rho}. \quad (31)$$

The occurrence of LRO in the two-body density matrix implies the existence of a non trivial relation for the chemical potential that can be obtained starting from the following expression holding in systems exhibiting Bose-Einstein condensation:

$$\mu = E(N) - E(N - 1) = \langle H \rangle - \frac{\langle a_0^\dagger H a_0 \rangle}{\langle a_0^\dagger H a_0 \rangle}. \quad (32)$$

Result (32) for the chemical potential follows from the property that the $\mathbf{p} = 0$ state in a Bose superfluid plays the role of a *reservoir* and that consequently adding (or destroying) a particle in this state yields the equilibrium state relative to the $N + 1$ ($N - 1$) system. Starting from eq.(32) one easily derives the equation:

$$\mu = - \frac{\langle a_0^\dagger [H, a_0] \rangle}{\langle a_0^\dagger a_0 \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N n_0}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \langle a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle V(p). \quad (33)$$

Using results (1) and (30) one then finds the exact non trivial relationship [18]

$$\mu = \rho \int d\mathbf{r} V(r)(1 + F_1(r)) \quad (34)$$

relating the LRO function $F_1(r)$ to the chemical potential of the system. Result (34) holds for any Bose system exhibiting condensation and interacting with central potentials.

The LRO function $F_1(q)$ plays a crucial role in the coupling between the *density*

$$| F \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{NS(q)}} \rho_{\mathbf{q}} | 0 \rangle \quad (35)$$

and *particle*

$$| P \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(q)}} a_{-\mathbf{q}} | 0 \rangle \quad (36)$$

states that provide natural approximations to the elementary excitations of a Bose system. Result (35) coincides with the most famous Bijl-Feynman ansatz [20]. Both the *density* and *particle* pictures coincide with the exact eigenstates in the limit of a dilute Bose gas. For a strongly correlated liquid they provide only an approximate description. The coupling between the two states is different from zero because of occurrence of the Bose-Einstein condensation and is given by [1]:

$$\langle F | P \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{n_0}{S(q)n(q)}} F_1(q) \quad (37)$$

The coupling turns out to be complete when $q \rightarrow 0$ [in fact in this limit one has $S(q) = q/2mc$, $n(q) = n_0 mc/2q$ and $F_1(q) = -\frac{1}{2}$] showing in an explicit way that in the hydrodynamic limit the *density* and *particle* picture of elementary excitations of a Bose condensed system coincide. The coupling between the *density* and *particle* pictures is at the basis of fundamental properties exhibited by Bose superfluids (for a recent exhaustive discussion see ref.[21]).

It is finally interesting to compare the average excitations energies of the states (35) and (36). Both energies provide a rigorous upper bound to the energy of the lowest excited state of the system. The energy of the Feynman state (35) is given by the most famous law [20]

$$\epsilon_F(q) = \frac{\langle F | H | F \rangle}{\langle F | F \rangle} = \frac{q^2}{2mS(q)} \quad (38)$$

and is expressed in terms of static structure function. It is well known that in liquid ^4He eq.(38) provides the exact dispersion in the low q phonon regime, while it gives only a poor description at higher momenta.

The energy of the particle state (36) takes instead the form [1]

$$\epsilon_P(q) = \mu - \frac{q^2}{2m} - \frac{W(q)}{n(q)} \quad (39)$$

where μ is the chemical potential and the quantity $W(q)$ is given by

$$W(q) = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}'_1 \rho^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}_1, 0; \mathbf{r}'_1, 0) e^{-i\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{r}'_1 - \mathbf{r}_1)} V(r_1) \quad (40)$$

with $\rho^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}_1, 0; \mathbf{r}'_1, 0)$ defined in eq.(26).

It is also useful to note that, due to relation (33-34) for the chemical potential, the particle energy (39) has no gap at $q = 0$ and vanishes linearly with q [1].

It is possible to obtain an explicit expression for the average value of the particle energy (39) in momentum space:

$$\bar{\epsilon}_P = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{q}} n(q) \epsilon_P(q)}{\sum_{\mathbf{q}} n(q)}. \quad (41)$$

This average is sensitive to the values of $\epsilon_P(q)$ in the interval of momenta where the quantity $q^2 n(q)$ has a significant weight. In superfluid ^4He this corresponds to the range $q = 1 - 3A^{-1}$ including the maxon and roton region. Using the operator identity [22] $-\sum_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger [H, a_{\mathbf{p}}] = E_K + 2V$ the average (41) takes the form

$$\bar{\epsilon}_P = \mu - \frac{1}{N} (\langle E_K \rangle + 2 \langle V \rangle) \quad (42)$$

where $\langle E_K \rangle$ and $\langle V \rangle$ are the kinetic energy and the potential energy relative to the ground state. At zero pressure, where $\mu = \frac{1}{N}(\langle E_K \rangle + \langle V \rangle)$, eq.(42) yields $\bar{\epsilon}_P = -\langle V \rangle / N = 21 \sim 22K$ in superfluid ^4He . It is instructive to compare the above value with the corresponding average of the Feynman energy:

$$\bar{\epsilon}_F = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{q}} n(q) \epsilon_F(q)}{\sum_{\mathbf{q}} n(q)}. \quad (43)$$

Using microscopic estimates for $S(q)$ and $n(q)$ we find $\bar{\epsilon}_F = 24 - 25K$ a value rather close to $\bar{\epsilon}_P$.

The fact that the energies of the *density* and *particle* states (35-36) turn out to be comparable in the most relevant region $q = 1 - 3A^{-1}$ reveals the importance of a careful microscopic investigation of the coupling (induced by BEC) between the two pictures in order to get a better understanding of the nature of elementary excitations in Bose superfluids.

REFERENCES

1. S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 2974 (1992);
2. D. Pines and Ph. Nozieres, *The Theory of Quantum Liquids* (Benjamin, New York 1966), Vol.I; Ph. Nozieres and D. Pines *The Theory of Quantum Liquids* (Addison-Wesley, 1990), Vol.II;
3. N.N. Bogoliubov, Phys. Abh. SU **6**, 1 (1962);
4. H. Wagner, Z. Physik **195**, 273 (1966);
5. P.C. Hohenberg, Phys. Rev. **158**, 383 (1967);
6. N.D. Mermin and H. Wagner Phys.Rev.Lett. **17**, 1133 (1966);
7. N.D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. **176**, 250 (1968);
8. L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, J. Low Temp. Phys. **85**, (1991);

9. T. Gavoret and Ph. Nozieres, Ann. Phys. (NY) **28**, 349 (1964);
10. L. Reatto and G.V. Chester, Phys. Rev. **155**, 88 (1967);
11. L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 10915, (1993);
12. S. Girvin and A. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1252 (1987);
13. R.B. Laughlin, Phys.Rev.Lett. **50**, 1395 (1983);
14. S.C. Zhang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **6**, 25 (1992);
15. W. Kohn, Phys.Rev. **123**, 1242 (1961);
16. R.D. Puff, Phys. Rev. A **137**, 406 (1965);
17. D. Pines and C.-W. Woo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **24**, 1044 (1970);
18. S. Stringari. J. Low Temp. Phys. **84**, 279 (1991);
19. M.L. Ristig and J. Clark, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 4355 (1989);
20. A. Bijl, Physica **8**, 655 (1940); R.P. Feynman, in *Progress in Low Temperature Physics*, vol.1, ed. by C.J. Gorter (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1955), Ch.2;
21. A. Griffin, *Excitations in a Bose-Condensed Liquid* (Cambridge University Press, 1993);
22. A.L. Fetter and J.D. Walecka, *Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971).